

KD Help Center

| Knowledge Database |

| [Home](#) | | [Search by Programs](#) | | [Advanced Search](#) | | [HSSNET](#) | | [TAD](#) | | [Handbooks](#) | | [F.I.S.H.](#) |

Here is the Question and Answer.

FAQ ID: 2558

Caseworker: Sergio Rodriguez

Region: Region 3

Answered On: 9/20/2007 2:34:22 PM

Program: CalWORKs

Category: Application

Answered By: Karen Akparanta

Handbook References: CalWorks - - 3 - 3 and 15



Attachments: 0 document attached

? Case is mom and three kids. Also in the home is the daughter of mom's boyfriend. Boyfriend is not in the home. Mom and boyfriend have a mutual child, therefore, the boyfriend's child is a half sibling to the mutual. Per mandatory inclusion rules, siblings and half siblings must be included in the AU (CWPHB 3-15). This child has been in the home and has been aided on Food Stamps only; the EW made the determination that there was no blood tie between the mother of the mutual and the half sibling of the mutual, therefore did not aid. Should the half sibling to the mutual be included in the AU, and therefore receive cash aid?

A The Eligibility Worker's determination is correct. Mom does not meet the caretaker relative requirement for the boyfriend's child (see the example on page 3-3 of the CWPHB). Per CWPHB page 3-15, siblings and half-siblings of the applicant child must be included in the AU, if eligible. In this situation, the boyfriend's child is not eligible.

 print this page

Please provide us your feedback:

Did we answer your question?  [Yes](#) : click Yes and return to Search Results  [No](#) : click No and return to Search Results

[Return to Search Results](#)

| [Home](#) | | [Search by Programs](#) | | [Advanced Search](#) | | [HSSNET](#) | | [TAD](#) | | [Handbooks](#) | | [F.I.S.H.](#) |